# **Network Connection Optimization for Serverless Workloads**

### Introduction

#### **Serverless Background**

- Application developers produce an event-driven function to the cloud provider
- The cloud provider is responsible for invocation, scaling, billing, failure, and management

#### Motivation

The use of short-lived, independent units of computation can lead to avoidable inefficiencies:

- Traditional applications would maintain long-held TCP connections
- Each newly instantiated serverless function must create new sockets and perform TCP slow start
- Serverless functions block for writes, while distributed services use asynchronous writes
- Caching and advanced reads can reduce time spent on repetitive, predictable operations

We first develop an OS-level shim layer to provide socket reuse between identical short-lived functions.

## Architecture DB<sub>blue</sub> socket(DB<sub>blue</sub>) User-level OS Shim Layer





- A serverless function running in container func, opens a socket to an external database DB
- A shim layer intercepts socket system calls
- The pool returns pre-existing socket S<sub>1</sub>
- S<sub>1</sub> is an unused warmed socket or a socket created by a previous invocation

## erika.hunhoff@colorado.edu



Client Node



Figure 3: CDF of latencies from reuse test (2000 test runs)

#### **Experimental Setup**

Two m510 nodes provisioned on CloudLab • Eight-core Intel Xeon D-1548 at 2.0 GHz Dual-port Mellanox ConnectX-3 10 GB NICs • Ubuntu 18.04.4 LTS • Linux 5.3.0-28-generic

350

400

Latency (microseconds)

450

500

550

#### **University of Colorado Boulder**

**Erika Hunhoff** 



**Eric Rozner** eric.rozner@colorado.edu

## **Preliminary Work - Reuse**

Baseline

Reuse

600

— DummyShim

Server Node Figure 2: Experiment architecture for reuse

The effects of reuse are measured using two nodes (Figure 2):

- A node with a client program that runs twice (once to use the socket, once to reuse)
- A node running a server program representing an external resource

Figure 3 shows latency measured from connection establishment to completion for three client configurations:

- **Baseline:** No shim layer is installed, system is unmodified (median: 446  $\mu$ s)
- **DummyShim:** A shim layer intercepts system calls, but does not change socket behavior (median: 459  $\mu$ s)
- Reuse: A shim layer contains minimal logic needed to perform one instance of socket reuse (median:  $322 \mu s$ )

#### Shim Design

The shim is a loadable kernel module (LKM) that intercepts system calls by overwriting function addresses in the system call table (similar to the SlimSocket component in Slim [NSDI '19]).

1.E+01 1.E+00 1.E-01 1.E-02 1.E-03 1.E-04 1.E-05



- Asynchronous writes
- Proactive retrieval of network content

# **Preliminary Work - FCT** Baseline Warmed Z Baseline Congestion Z Warmed Congesion Flow Size Figure 4: FCT results

Figure 4 shows flow completion time (FCT) for a new connection versus a **warmed** connection: • Warmed implies a connected socket that has already converged to an appropriate TCP congestion window size

 Baseline FCT measures time from socket creation to flow completion

• Warmed FCT measures time to send the data

Warmed connections finish faster because: No overhead from TCP handshakes • Larger window sizes at start of transmission • Less round-trips to send data

Small flows ( $\leq$  1 MB) complete 2-24 ms faster (with congestion) or 0.7-1.2 ms faster (no congestion).

## **Future Work**

Create a pool manager with features including: Communication between the pool manager and the function scheduler

 Intelligent connection pool garbage collection Network probing to approximate appropriate congestion windows for warmed sockets

The long-term goal is to explore:

TLS integration

• Caching of commonly accessed data